


To study the impact of Regional Difference (RD) and Sex-Difference (SD) on the Adolescent's Immanent Justice (IJ)



Abstract

This is a comparative study comparison between girls & boys and Eastern and western region of Uttar Pradesh Immanent justice is a kind of moral judgement. Result regarding Regional differences and Immanent Justice show that the adolescents belonging to eastern part of U.P. have greater and significant magnitude of Immanent justice in comparison to the adolescents belonging to western region of U.P Girls are more immanent justice in comparison to male adolescents.

Introduction-

First of all I want to discuss the term immanent Justice. Immanent Justice - means the belief in the existence of autonomic punishment which emanate from things themselves. Actually Immanent means the notions that the intelligence and creative principle of the universe prevades the universe itself a fundamental conception of pantheism, where as Immanent justice is the belief in the existence of autonomic punishment which immanent from things themselves this study assesses the Immanent justice of boys and girls of different region of Uttar Pradesh. .

Objective:

To study the impact of Regional Difference (RD) and Sex-Difference (SD) on the Adolescent's Immanent Justice (I J)

Methodology:

This 'ex-post-facto" Correlational research with manipulated treatment through selection are regional difference and sex difference, which have two

Dr. RANJANA GUPTA
Associate Professor
K.R. Girls P.G.
College, Mathura

level each i.e. eastern & westerns adolescents and male, female respectively so the design of the present study is 2 x 2 factorial. The present study seeks to investigate not only the separate effects but also the interactional effect of these two independent variables (Regional Difference and Sex-Difference) on above mentioned dependent variable (immanent Justice). The dependent variable scores have been obtained with the help of standardized tools moral Judgement test (MJT) for adolescents, constructed & standardized by Km RANJANA GUPTA.

Null hypotheses :

1. Regional Difference (RD) does not affect adolescent’s Immanent Justice.
2. Sex-Difference (SD) does not affect adolescent’s immanent justice.
3. Regional Difference (RD) and Sex- Difference (SD) do not interact while affecting adolescent’s immanent justice.

Table 1 : Cell wise Total Scores, Total Squares, N and Mean Immanent Justice.

Regional Difference

Eastern U.P.

Western U.P.

EX ₁	=	1768	EX ₂	=	1492
EX ₁ ²	=	39002	EX ₂ ²	=	28050
Mean	=	22.1	Mean	=	18.65
N	=	80	N	=	80

BOYS

EX ₃	=	1660	EX ₄	=	1757
EX ₃ ²	=	34928	EX ₄ ²	=	39153
Mean	=	20.75	Mean	=	21.96
N	=	80	N	=	80

GIRLS

Table 2 : Summary of Analysis of Variance of Adolescent Economic Value Scores in a 2x2 Factorial Experiment.

Source	SS	DF	M.Sq.	F	Sig. level
Treatment	611.94	3			
R.D.	100.13	1	100.13	26.35	.01
S.D.	77.031		77.03	20.27	.01
R.D.x S.D.	434.78	1	434.78	114.41	.01
Error	1201.29	316	3.80		
Total	1813.33	319			

FINDINGS:

The above ANOVA table reveals the following finding:

The null hypothesis No. 1 is rejected as its F-value (26.35) is significant at .01 level which denotes that the regional difference in terms of eastern and western region of U.P. play an important role in adolescent's Immanent Justice. The cell wise statistic reveals that the adolescents belonging to the eastern U.P. have significantly more Immanent Justice (21.42) in comparison to those adolescents who belong or reside in western part of the Uttar Pradesh (M=20.30)

The null hypothesis No. 2 is rejected as its F-value (20.27) is significant at .01 level which denotes that the sex difference in terms of boys and girls significantly affect the magnitude of religious value. The cell wise statistic reveals that the girls have more Immanent Justice (M=21.35) in comparison o the boys (M=20.37)

Null hypothesis No. 3 is rejected as its F-value (114.41) is significant at .01 level, pertaining to the significant bivariate interaction between regional and sex difference. It denotes that the regional differences play a significantly different role at the two level of sex differences.

Table 3: Mean scores of Adolescent's Immanent Justice at two levels of Regional Differences and two levels of Sex-Differences.

	Regional Difference	
	Eastern U.P.	Western U.P.
Boys	22.1	18.65
Girls	20.75	21.96

The above table reveals the following facts :

1. The boys belonging to Eastern U.P. have more Immanent Justice of Moral Judgement in comparison to Eastern U.P. girls, whereas the Eastern U.P. girls possess more Immanent Justice in comparison to Eastern U.P. Boys.
2. The Eastern U.P. boys surpass in the possession of Immanent Justice in comparison of western U.P. boys whereas western U.P. girls possess more Immanent Justice in comparison to eastern U.P. Girls.

CONCLUSION: Results regarding regional difference and Immanent justice show that the adolescents belonging to eastern part of Uttar Pradesh have greater and significant magnitude of Immanent justice in comparison to the adolescents belonging to western region of Uttar Pradesh.

Results regarding Sex differences and immanent justice show that female adolescents possess more immanent justice in comparison to male adolescents.

Female adolescents belonging to western U.P have more immanent justice in comparison to male adolescents of western U.P as well as female adolescents belonging to eastern part of Uttar Pradesh.

Male adolescents belonging to eastern U.P. have more immanent Justice in comparison to female adolescents of eastern U.P. as well as male adolescents of western U.P.

References:

1. Burton, R.V. – The generality of honesty reconsidered, Psychologist review 1963.
2. Chauhan & Tiwari, Govind – Moral Judgement as a function of sex and value orientation Jr. of Psychological research 1977
3. Burton, R.V.- The generality of honesty reconsidered Psychologist review, 1963.70 481,500

